

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EL377526428 US Att'y Docket No. 06160-1-P59B
Date of Deposit: 5/9/01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT
(UTILITY PATENT)

APPLICANTS:

Robert W. Balliett
Wolfgang Kummer
John E. Litz
Lawrence F. McHugh
Harry H. K. Nauta
Paul B. Queneau
Rong-Chien Wu

POST OFFICE ADDRESS:

c/o H.C. Starck, Inc.
45 Industrial Place
Newton, MA 02461-1951

INVENTION TITLE:

PRODUCTION OF PURE MOLYBDENUM
OXIDE FROM LOW GRADE MOLYBDENITE
CONCENTRATES

ASSIGNEE:

H.C. Starck, Inc.
a Massachusetts Corporation
45 Industrial Place
Newton, MA 02461-1951

ATTORNEYS:

Jerry Cohen (Reg. No. 20,522)
Jacob N. Erlich (Reg. No. 24,338)
Harvey Kaye* (Reg. No. 18,978)
Stephen Y. Chow (Reg. No. 31,338)
Christine M. Kuta (Reg. No. 38,001)

* Mr. Kaye is available at 301-299-9098

Perkins, Smith & Cohen
One Beacon Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 854-4000

TO: Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Your applicants, named above hereby petition for grant of a utility patent to them or any assignee(s) of record, at the time of issuance, for an invention more particularly described in the following specification and claims, with the accompanying drawings, verified by the accompanying Declaration and entitled:

09852207-050901

PRODUCTION OF PURE MOLYBDENUM
OXIDE FROM LOW GRADE MOLYBDENITECONCENTRATES

Field of the Invention

1 The present invention relates to the process of producing ammonium dimolybdate for conversion to pure molybdenum oxide or other pure chemicals from molybdenite concentrates and more particularly to a process of producing chemical grade ammonium dimolybdate for conversion to chemical grade molybdenum oxide through a process that includes the pressure oxidation of low-grade molybdenite concentrates.

Background of the Invention

2 Extraction of molybdenum from molybdenite-containing materials by an aqueous process has been the subject of study for over 50 years. In 1952, E.S. Usataya¹ reported on the oxidation of molybdenite in water solutions. He found that in neutral, acidic, or weakly alkaline solutions the decomposition products precipitate on the molybdenite surface and protect the molybdenite from further oxidation. He found that strong bases and strong oxidizing agents impede the formation of the protective layers. Increasing temperature accelerated the oxidation rate in alkaline solutions, but up to 60°C had no effect in acidic solutions.

3 A Japanese patent² was issued for oxygen pressure oxidation (POX) of molybdenite in 1962. The example in this patent leached a 55.5% Mo, 36.4% S, and 4.4% Cu concentrate at 9% solids at 200°C and 200 atmospheres oxygen. The molybdic acid precipitate that formed during leaching was dissolved using ammonia for recovery of an ammonium molybdate.

¹ Usataya, E.S., "Oxidation of molybdenite in water solutions," Zapiski Vsesoyuz Mineral Obshchestva, v 81, 298-303 (1952).

² Sada, Koji, "Extraction of molybdenum," Japanese patent 15.207('62), assigned to Awamura Mining Co., Ltd.

4 In another process disclosure³, alkali hydroxide and alternatively ammonium hydroxide was added continuously to the aqueous solution to neutralize the acid as it formed and maintain the pH at 7-12. Other authors⁴ postulated the formation of a molybdenum-iron heteropoly complex that decomposes as the acid concentration increases. It also may be a ferrous complex that decomposes as the soluble iron is oxidized to ferric.

5 Early applications of molybdenum solvent extraction utilized tertiary amine to extract the molybdenum solubilized by sodium hydroxide leaching of roasted molybdenite calcines. Secondary amines and quaternary ammonium compounds extract anionic molybdenum using a similar chemistry. Amines also were used for extraction of molybdenum from molybdenite roaster scrubber solutions.

6 Molybdenum is an impurity in many uranium ores. When uranium ores are acid leached, some molybdenum reports to the acid leach solution. The tertiary amines readily available during the 1950's and early 1960's tended to have an amine-molybdenum complex with poor solubility in aliphatic diluents (kerosene).

7 Several Russian researchers worked with acid leaching of oxide ores. In many cases the acidity was sufficient for most of the molybdenum to be in a cationic form. Therefore, the cation exchanger (di, 2, ethylhexyl phosphoric acid (DEHPA)) received much study regarding the recovery of molybdenum from complex acid solutions. Karpacheva et al.⁵ determined that in acid solutions the molybdenum was not present as the simple molybdenyl cation but, the molybdenum was present as polymeric cations. The co-extraction of iron is a major problem when using DEHPA. The authors noted that in a nitric acid system, the acid concentration needs to equal or exceed 3 molar to prevent significant iron extraction. Other authors⁶ reported on the

³ Hallada, Calvin J., et al., "Conversion of molybdenum disulfide to molybdenum oxide," German patent 2,045,308 (1971).

⁴ Mel'nikov, B.S. and Shapiro, K.A., "Water-autoclave decomposition of molybdenite raw material," *Protsessy Poluch. Rafinirovaniya Tugoplavkikh Met.* (1975) 113-120, 253-260.


⁵ Karpacheva, S.M. et al., "Extraction of molybdenum and iron (III) by di-2-ethylhexyl hydrogen phosphate," *Russian Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, V 12, 7, p 1014-1016 (1967).

⁶ Chiola, Vincent, "Separation of molybdenum values from tungsten values by solvent extraction," U.S. Patent 3,607,008 (1971).

benefit of modifiers in reducing the iron coextraction, e.g. tributyl phosphate, dibutyl butyl phosphonate.

8 Palant et al.⁷ made a detailed study of the extraction of molybdenum by DEHPA. The solutions studies were prepared by dissolving MoO₃ in sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid, or nitric acid solutions.

9 ~~Amine exchange has also received much study during the past 40 to 50 years. The difficulties presented by the poor solubility of the amine-molybdenum complex were addressed by using aromatic diluents. MacInnis et al.⁸ used tri-n-capryl amine (Alamine 336) with the aromatic diluent #28⁹. The authors discuss amine extraction of a complex sulfate-bearing anion. At pH values of 3 and higher, they determined that the ion exchange type mechanism shown in Equation I below predominates.~~



At pH values below 3, they postulate that the following occurs along with Equation I.



10 Equation II infers that $(\text{Mo}_x\text{O}_y\text{H}_z)_n \cdot (\text{SO}_4)_m$ is not ionized and MacInnis postulates some cation transfer. This postulation is based on the fact that ³⁵S tagged sulfur was found to transfer both from the organic to the aqueous phase and from the aqueous to the organic phase.

11 Litz found in 1970, that tris, tridecyl amine could be used successfully for molybdenum solvent extraction with an aliphatic diluent. There still was potential for formation of insoluble molybdenum-amine complexes, but the molybdenum-tris tridecyl amine complex's solubility in the diluent was much higher than with other tertiary amines. Tris tridecyl amine in an aliphatic

⁷ Palant, A.A. et al., "Extraction of molybdenum (VI) with bis(2-ethylhexyl) hydrogen phosphate from an acidic medium," Inst. Metall. im. Baikova, Moscow, USSR, Report deposited (1979) pp. 1-19.

⁸ MacInnis, M.B., Kim, T.K., and Laferty, J.M., "The use of solvent extraction for the production and recovery of high-purity ammonium paramolybdate from normal alkali molybdate solution," First Intl Conf on Chemistry and Uses for Molybdenum, p. 56-58 (1973).

⁹ Aromatic diluent #28 is a solvent from 1960 available from Missouri Solvents & Chemicals. The solvent had a boiling range of 165 to 193°C, a flash point of 122°F, a Kauri butanol value of 73, and was 74% aromatics.

diluent was used in a number of pilot circuits for molybdenum solvent extraction from roaster scrubber solutions and to recover byproduct from uranium leach solutions, but it may never have been used in a commercial circuit.

12 The transfer of sulfate from strongly acid solutions is a problem with using amines. Also, the amines are relatively nonselective and will transfer silicon, phosphorus, and arsenic probably as heteropoly compounds.

13 The coextraction of silicon and subsequent solids precipitation during stripping has been a major problem during other studies. The silicon problem was addressed by filtration of the first stripping stage mixture prior to advancing to the settler. Sulfate transfer was high because the solvent could not be fully loaded with molybdenum, i.e., to avoid diluent-insoluble molybdenum-amine complexes, the sulfate transfer was large.

14 Efficient recovery of chemical-grade ammonium dimolybdate (ADM) requires high purity feed solutions containing 200 to 230 g Mo per liter. Impurities in the solution must be removed to avoid inclusion in the ADM. Impurities, that form hydroxides or sulfides, can be removed by additions or pH-control. Other impurities will build up and unless the mother liquor is bled from the crystallization will report to the ADM.

15 Typical molybdenum solvent extraction systems acidulate the feed solution, if necessary, prior to contact with the extractant in the mixer. Generally this means that the extractant is converted to the bisulfate form by acid in the feed solution and then the desired anion exchanges with the bisulfate. When molybdenum is acidulated there is potential for localized high acid concentrations that can form sulfate-bearing molybdenum species.

16 It is an object of the present invention to provide an integrated process for producing high purity ammonium dimolybdate or molybdenum oxide through a process that includes the pressure oxidation of low grade molybdenite concentrates or molybdenum intermediates.

17 It is a further object of the present invention to provide an improved molybdenum pressure oxidation process which produces a high purity product at reduced capital and operating costs.

18 It is a further object of the present invention to provide an improved solvent extraction method which rejects sulfate and metallic impurities by extracting the molybdenum in an ionic form that contains no sulfate.

Summary of the Invention

19 The objects set forth above as well as further and other objects and advantages of the present invention are achieved by the present invention now described in summary fashion and with further examples below in preferred embodiments of the practice of the invention.

20 The present invention provides a process of producing a high purity ammonium dimolybdate or molybdenum oxide through the pressure oxidation of low grade molybdenite concentrates or molybdenum intermediates. The process entails oxidizing the molybdenite concentrates or intermediates in an autoclave operating at greater than 50 p.s.i. oxygen overpressure, preferably between 80-120 p.s.i., at a temperature greater than 200°C, preferably between 210-220°C to effect almost complete oxidation of the concentrate while optimizing the process chemistry and autoclave conditions to solubilize as little of the molybdenum values as possible. A method of maximizing the insoluble molybdenum values is disclosed in U.S Patent Application entitled "Autoclave Control Mechanisms for Pressure Oxidation of Molybdenite" which is incorporated by reference herein (and a copy of which is provided at Appendix A hereto). The resulting autoclave discharge has greater than 99% of the molybdenum concentrates oxidized and greater than 80% of the molybdenum values insoluble.

21 The autoclave discharge is then subjected to an alkaline leaching of the POX residue using sodium carbonate and sodium hydroxide. More than 99% of the molybdenum dissolves. The molybdenum in this alkaline solution is recovered readily using a secondary amine solvent, di, tridecyl amine (DTDA). The molybdenum is loaded into the organic phase at 4.0 to 4.5 pH. The molybdenum-loaded organic is stripped with ammonium hydroxide to produce solutions suitable for recovery of chemical-grade ADM and ultimately chemical-grade molybdenum oxide.

22 Alternatively, the autoclave discharge may be subjected to an ammoniacal leaching of the POX residue. More than 99% of the molybdenum dissolves. Most of the cosolubilized

impurities are precipitated from the ammoniacal leach solution. The solution is evaporated to crystallize chemical grade ADM. An additional route is provided when the leach solution contains more sulfate than is desirable for crystallization of chemical-grade ADM, producing a product suitable for technical grade ADM and ultimately technical grade molybdenum oxide.

23 Alternatively, the POX residue may be subjected to an alkaline leach with sodium carbonate and sodium hydroxide before a liquid-solid separation step such that all of the molybdenum is soluble and the copper and iron transfer to the solids. More than 98% of the molybdenum is transferred to the filtrate from this neutralization. The molybdenum is readily recoverable using the DTDA solvent extraction process. The ammoniacal strip solutions from the DTDA extraction are suitable for recovery of chemical-grade ADM and ultimately chemical-grade molybdenum oxide.

24 Cementation by scrap iron can be used to readily recover the copper either from the raffinate produced from molybdenum solvent extraction of the POX leach solution or directly from the POX leach solution. Gold and silver values transfer to the final leached solid residue produced by each embodiment and are recyclable to a copper smelter. Most of the rhenium, arsenic, and phosphorus are dissolved regardless of the leaching conditions.

25 Other objects, features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following description of preferred embodiments thereof, including illustrative non-limiting examples of the practice of the process.

Brief Description of the Drawings

26 FIG. 1 is a flow diagram of one embodiment of the process of the present invention in which the POX residue is subjected to an alkaline leach;

27 FIG. 2 is a flow diagram of another embodiment of the process of the present invention in which the POX residue is subjected to an ammoniacal leach; and

28 FIG. 3 is a flow diagram of another embodiment of the process of the present invention in which the POX residue is subjected to an alkaline leach prior to liquid-solid separation.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

29 A process of producing a pure ammonium dimolybdate or molybdenum oxide through the pressure oxidation of low-grade molybdenite concentrates is provided in a first embodiment. Referring to Figure 1, the process begins with the pressure oxidation 10 of low grade molybdenite concentrate or intermediate concentrates at greater than 50 p.s.i. oxygen overpressure, preferably between 80-120 p.s.i., at a temperature greater than 200°C, preferably between 210-220°C while optimizing the process chemistry and autoclave conditions to solubilize as little of the molybdenum values as possible. This can be accomplished by a high degree of oxidation of sulfide sulfur in the autoclave and acceptably high H₂SO₄ concentrations.

30 Treated water and recycle wash water from the autoclave filter is added to dilute the feed to the autoclave in order to control the temperature in the autoclave. Recycling a portion of the autoclave discharge slurry 12 back to the autoclave increases the acidity and ferric level in the autoclave. The recycled autoclave discharge aqueous accelerates the leaching rate, thus reducing the reactor size, and the additional acid limits the soluble molybdenum to about 15% of the total. Recycling some of the autoclave slurry 12 back to the autoclave also provides seeding material to increase the size of the MoO₃ particles to improve filterability. The resulting autoclave discharge has greater than 99% of the molybdenum concentrates oxidized and greater than 80% of the molybdenum values insoluble.

31 The autoclave discharge slurry is then filtered 20 and the filtrate 22 is treated by scrap iron 24 to precipitate the copper. The cementation process 30 begins by adding the iron 24 to the liquid 22 at room temperature. The scrap iron reacts with the filtrate according to the following equations.



The recovered copper precipitate will be filtered, washed, and sent to a smelter 32. The chemistry of tail stream 34 from iron cementation 30 is then adjusted and molybdenum is precipitated using metallic iron powder 36 in a stirred tank reactor 40. This product 42 is

recycled back to the autoclave feed. If the cementation process 30 is not used, then the filtrate 22 advances directly to the molybdenum precipitation process 40.

32 The insoluble molybdenum values 26 in the POX residue are present as hydrated and anhydrous molybdenum oxides and are readily soluble in alkaline solutions. The autoclave discharge filter cake 26 is then repulped in water and a soda ash solution 28 is added to dissolve the molybdenum 50. Sodium carbonate alone dissolves most of the molybdenum at final pH values below 7.0 but a significant amount of iron was also found to dissolve. Sodium hydroxide is then added to increase the pH level to about 9.0 to 10.0, eliminating the soluble iron and producing a leach slurry having low arsenic, phosphorus, and selenium content. The amount of soluble silicon is variable.

33 The discharge 52 from the soda ash / caustic leach 50 is then filtered and washed 60. The filter cake 62 is sent to the smelter for recovery of precious metals. The filtrate 64 is sent to solvent extraction 70.

34 The sodium molybdate solution 64 produced by the alkaline leach 50 is subjected to an amine solvent extraction 70 of the molybdenum. The molybdenum extraction mixers can be operated to produce a two-phase mixture having either the aqueous- or organic-phase continuous. The organic phase contains 10% DTDA. Controlling the acidity in the mixers at 4.0 to 4.5 pH by the direct addition of hydrated sulfuric acid (65% H_2SO_4 or so) minimizes the transfer of arsenic, phosphorus, selenium, silicon, and sulfate. The molybdenum-loaded organic then is washed with a weak acid solution and/or water, and then is stripped with an ammonium hydroxide solution. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide or anhydrous, gaseous ammonia is added to control the pH in stripping at about 9.0. After stripping, the organic is water-washed to remove entrained strip solution and the aqueous is advanced as make-up water to stripping.

35 The ideal solvent molybdenum extraction rejects sulfate and metallic impurities. The most efficient method of rejecting sulfate is to extract the molybdenum in an ionic form that contains no sulfate. Typical solvent extraction systems acidulate the feed solution, if necessary, prior to contact with the extractant in the mixer. Generally this means that the extractant is converted to the bisulfate form and then the desired anion exchanges with the bisulfate. In

addition, when molybdenum-bearing solutions are acidulated there is potential for localized high acid concentrations that can form sulfate-bearing molybdenum specie.

36 The molybdenum ion present in neutral to alkaline solutions is the molybdate ion. On mild acidulation to 4.0 to 4.5 pH, the molybdenum will form large polymolybdate ions that are readily extractable. If the acidulation of the feed solution is done simultaneously in the mixer to produce a two-phase mixture, the extractant quickly exchanges the polymolybdate species before the molybdenum is further acidulated to form a sulfate-bearing specie. Typically the metallic impurities will not form large polyions at the target pH values and, if they do, the polymolybdates will displace them along with any bisulfate ions from the amine. Therefore, small amounts of sulfate and silicon are the only impurities that follow the molybdenum.

37 Raffinate 72 from the solvent extraction is sent to the disposal tank for neutralization and disposal with the other effluents from the plant. The pregnant liquor 74 from the solvent extraction 70 advances to the aging and purification tanks 80 to allow silica to coagulate. Iron molybdate and/or ammonium sulfide 82 may be added to the aging tanks to reduce the amount of trace impurities.

38 The aged and purified solution 84 is then sent to a crystallizer 90 where solid ammonium dimolybdate (ADM) is produced. The crystals are recovered in a centrifuge 100. The vapor 92 from the crystallizer 90 is condensed to recover the ammonia 110 for use in the solvent extraction process 70. The filtrate 102 from the centrifuge is recycled back to the crystallizer 90. As an option, a bleed stream 94 from the crystallizer circuit can be taken to recover rhenium values in a rhenium extraction process 130 and/or the bleed stream 94 can be neutralized with soda ash and steam-stripped to recover and recycle the ammonia. The ammonia-free solution then is returned to the alkaline leach 50.

39 In the rhenium extraction process 130, the rhenium is extracted from the crystallizer mother liquor bleed in ion exchange columns. Stripping is done with ammonia. The strip solution is sent to a crystallizer to recover the rhenium as solid ammonium perrhenate.

40 The crystals 104 from the crystallizer centrifuge 100 are transported to a dryer/calcliner 120. The dried/calclined material may then be sent to packaging. The resulting material is suitable

for chemical grade ADM or chemical grade molybdenum oxide 125. Table 1 below shows the requirements for chemical grade molybdenum oxide in comparison to technical grade molybdenum oxide.

Table 1

	Technical Grade <u>MoO₃ Spec</u>	Chemical Grade <u>MoO₃ Spec</u>
Al, max. ppm	--	10
Ca, ppm	--	5
Co, ppm	--	10
Cr, ppm	--	5
Cu, ppm	4500	5
Fe, ppm	--	10
K, ppm	--	80
Mg, ppm	--	5
Mn, ppm	--	10
Ni, ppm	--	5
P, ppm	200	10
Pb, ppm	300	10
S, ppm	300	--
Si, ppm	20,000 - 40,000	10
Sn, ppm	--	10
Ti, ppm	--	5
V, ppm	--	10
Zn, ppm	--	10
Zr, ppm	--	10

Referring to Figure 2, in another embodiment of the present invention, the autoclave discharge 12 is centrifuged and washed and then repulped, filtered and washed again 220. The purpose is to obtain a molybdenum-containing filter cake 222 which is low in soluble impurities such as silicon and sulfate. The centrate 224, which contains almost all of the copper and much of the iron from the feed, plus the balance of the molybdenum, is sent to the optional solvent extraction process 230 for molybdenum recovery. The solvent extraction process is essentially the same as described in the first embodiment except the stripping is done with a concentrated sulfuric acid solution rather than an ammonium hydroxide solution. During stripping, the pH is maintained at about less than 3.0. Recovered molybdenum values are recycled back to the

350. The calcined material results in technical grade ADM or technical grade molybdenum oxide 355, which can be sold or recycled back 252 to the dissolver 250 or the autoclave10.

46 Referring to Figure 3, in another embodiment of the present invention, the autoclave discharge 12 is subjected to the sodium carbonate / sodium hydroxide leaching process 400 of the first embodiment prior to liquid-solid separation. The leaching discharge 402 is then thickened, centrifuged and washed, repulped, filtered on a continuous pressure filter and rewashed 410. The solids 412 containing the copper and gold are sent to the smelter 422 for recovery. The filtrate 414 containing the molybdenum and rhenium is sent to a rhenium extraction process 430. The rhenium is extracted from the alkaline filtrate in ion exchange columns. Stripping is done with ammonia. The strip solution is sent to a crystallizer to recover the rhenium as solid ammonium perrhenate.

47 The molybdenum-bearing solution 432 from rhenium extraction 430 is pumped to the solvent extraction process 440 previously described in the first embodiment. The raffinate 442 from the solvent extraction 440 is sent to the plant effluent. The pregnant liquor 444 is sent to the crystallization process 450 previously described in the first embodiment producing chemical grade ADM or chemical grade molybdenum oxide 485.

EXAMPLES

48 The invention is now further disclosed with reference to the following non-limiting Examples.

Example 1

Cementation Process

49 After pressure oxidation of the molybdenite concentrate in the autoclave and the solid-liquid separation of the slurry, the resulting acidic liquid is treated by cementation. The major constituents of the liquid are approximately:

Mo, g/l	10-16
Cu, g/l	8-11
Fe, g/l	8-11
H ₂ SO ₄ , g/l	100

50 The cementation process is conducted at room temperature and begins by adding to the liquid about 1.0 gram Fe per gram Fe present (as Fe^{3+}) in the liquid and about 2.0 gram Fe per gram Cu present in the liquid. The slurry is mixed for 10-15 minutes and then filtered. The solids contain the recovered Cu-values. The filtrate is then treated for the recovery of Mo.

51 The pH of the filtrate is increased to about 1.05 - 1.2 by the addition of Na_2CO_3 or NaOH and the temperature of the filtrate is increased to about 40 - 65°C. An additional 1.5 - 2.1 gram Fe per gram Mo present in the liquid is then added. The slurry is mixed for 15-30 minutes and filtered. The filtrate should have a clear yellow/greenish color. A recycle stream, which feeds solids or slurry back to the mix-tank is needed to promote the filterability of the Mo-cake. The solids contain the recovered Mo-values. The filtrate can be neutralized with lime and discarded. The mass distribution in % of the elements is shown in Table 2.

Table 2

Element	Starting acidic liquid	Cu-step, Solids	Cu-step, Liquid	Mo-step, Solids	Mo-step, Liquid	Notes
Mo	100	1-1.5	98.5-99	98.4	0.07-0.24	
Cu	100	98.5-99.99	0-1.5	<0.01	0.01	
Si	100	15		85		
As	100	85		15		
Se	100	100		0		(*
Re	100	50		50		(*
SO ₄	100	2		6-20		(*
P		35		65		(**
Mg		30		70		(**
Al		10		90		(**

Notes:

(* = The liquid phase is not analyzed, but based on the synthetic solutions it is assumed that the distribution of the elements are as is indicated.

(** = The distribution only indicates the relative distribution between the two solid phases.

Example 2

Alkaline Leach

52 A number of tests were performed to attempt optimization of the leaching conditions while minimizing the formation of bicarbonates. The alkaline leaching data are summarized in Table 3. Leaching at pH values below 7.0 using sodium carbonate demonstrated almost complete solubilization of the molybdenum but significant co-solubilization of iron, probably as a carbonate complex, was also present. Leaching with sodium hydroxide did not solubilize much iron. Therefore, tests to optimize leaching cost and efficiency evaluated an initial leach with sodium carbonate to 6 pH, followed by sodium hydroxide to 9 pH or only using sodium hydroxide. Molybdenum extraction in these tests (see Table 3, Leach No. CL-1 to CL-6) exceeded 98%. The leach solutions contained 43 to 79 g/l Mo and silicon was the only impurity of significance. The reagent requirement averaged about 1.1 lb Na₂CO₃ and 0.7 lb NaOH per lb of molybdenum dissolved.

Example 3

Alkaline Leach Solvent Extraction

53 A number of tests were performed to determine the optimum conditions for solvent extracting molybdenum from the alkaline leach solutions. The molybdenum solvent extraction studies used an organic containing 10% di, tridecyl amine, 5% decyl alcohol, and 85% Escaid 110. The results of these studies are summarized in Tables 4A-4C. The initial tests evaluated the effect of temperature in the pH range of 2.0-2.7. Temperature had no significant effect on the molybdenum extraction. The extraction was very efficient with raffinates containing from 1 to 40 mg molybdenum/liter from feed solutions containing 63 to 70 g/l (greater than 99.9% transfer). The distribution coefficients in the first contact, freshly stripped organic and feed, were as high as 133 (g/l Mo organic phase ÷ g/l Mo aqueous phase). There was some transfer of silicon and arsenic. The first strip stages contained up to 310 mg/l silicon and 12 mg/l arsenic when normalized to 200 g/l molybdenum.

Table 3

**ALKALINE LEACHING OF POX LEACH RESIDUE
MOLYBDENUM EXTRACTION AND LEACH SOLUTION IMPURITIES**

Leach No.:	Dissolution			Soluble Mo	Na ₂ CO ₃ g/g Mo	NaOH g/g Mo	Filtrate Mo, g/l	Filtrate Impurities, mg/l on a 200 g/l Mo basis										
	Temp °C	Na ₂ CO ₃ to ? pH	NaOH to ? pH					Cu	Fe	As	K	P	Re	Mg	Si	Se		
RL-30	50		13	95.6%		0.95	65.3			18		13	37		172			
RL-31	50		13	91.9%		0.84	93.6			4					235			
RL-32	50	6.8		99.6%	1.31		33.4			24					72			
RL-33	50	5.2		99.9%	0.68		31.6		1500	25					468			
RL-34	50	3.5		94.5%	0.38		32.2		1500	25					200			
RL-35	50	4.3		99.5%	0.39		48.4		1300	8					314			
RL-36	50		6.5	98.5%		0.59	76		1040	10					550			
RL-37	50		8.05	99.6%		1.00	81.9	<3	7	10					100			
RL-38	50		9.54	99.8%		0.99	83.1	<3	5	14					140			
RL-39	50		10	95.6%		1.00	74.8	<3	5	5	45	11		27	350			
CL-1	50	5.6	9.2	98.0%	1.04	0.72	67.1			12					1500			
CL-2	50	5.6	9.4	98.6%	1.04	0.76	58.6			14					2400			
CL-3	50		10	98.1%		1.18	74.2			11					890			
CL-4	50		9.6	98.4%		1.03	78.8			10					470			
CL-5	50	9.0	9.3	98.9%	1.52	0.18	42.9			10					325			
CL-6	50	6.9	9.5	99.0%	1.16	0.33	71.1			39		6	124		28	28		

Table 4A

SOLVENT EXTRACTION SUMMARY

ALKALINE LEACH SOLUTIONS

Test No	pH	Temp °C		Mo Content, g/l		H ₂ SO ₄ g/g Mo	Impurities In strip, mg/l per 200 g/l Mo				
				Organic	Aqueous		Si	As	P	Re	SO ₄
1210		35	Feed		82		110	8			
	4.8		Loaded Stage	41.5	55		<2	6	1.5		
			First Contact	27.8	28	0.8	<2	6	0.7		
	2.5		Raffinate Stage	0.22	<0.001		<2	4	0.05		
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	3	81		260	25	6.4		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5	0.6	7		<55	230	10		
1220			Feed		34						
	2.5		Loaded Stage	45.6	30			4			
	2.5		First Contact	22.5	0.02	0.41		<2			
	2.5		Raffinate Stage	0.01	<0.001			<2			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	4.1	86			9		107	
			Second Strip Stage, 9.0	0.3	11			<35			
1230		25	Feed		45		76	2			
	2.5		Loaded Stage	45	47		<2	4			
	2.5		First Contact	29.6	0.08		<2	<2			
	2.5		Raffinate Stage	0.01	0.02		<2	4			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	9.7	65		215	6			
			Second Strip Stage, 9.0	1.5	23		70	<15			
1410		35	Feed		83		275	6			1700
	4.2		Loaded Stage	53.5	61						
	4.4		First Contact	16.6	0.13						
			First Strip Stage, 9.0 pH	1.0	183		164	u	u		39
			Second Strip Stage, 9.0	0.1	6		870	200	<30		370
1420		35	Alkaline Feed		61		10	14	2	10	44
			POX Leach Feed		11		80	60	54	26	16
	4.4		Alkaline Contact	42.4	25		<2	8	<1	44	20
			First POX Contact	7.3	0.33		50	22	28	3	<2
	9.1		Strip of Alkaline Contact	4.3	104		31	510	310	200	173
			Alkaline Raff Contact	22.7	0.39		<2	6	<1	<1	<1
	9.0		Strip of Alkaline Raff Co	0	46		<9	26	9	<9	35600

Table 4B

SOLVENT EXTRACTION SUMMARY ALKALINE LEACH SOLUTIONS

Solvent: 10% DTDA
5% Decyl alcohol
85% Escaid 110

Test No	pH	Temp °C		Mo Content, g/l		H ₂ SO ₄ g/g Mo	Impurities In Strip, mg/l per 200 g/l Mo			
				Organic	Aqueous		Si	As	P	Re
1030		50	Feed		70		56	6	na	12
	2.1		Loaded Stage	46.0	1.29		<2	6	0.4	4
	1.4		Raffinate Stage	0.06	<0.001		<2	6	0.05	<2
			First Strip Stage, 6.5 pH	21	39		<10	<10	5	41
			Second Strip Stage, 9.0 p	8	23		313	35	42	<17
1040		50	Feed		70		56	6	na	12
	2.6		Loaded Stage	41.6	7.62		<2	6	0.3	4
	2.6		Raffinate Stage	0.12	0.016		<2	<2	0.2	<2
			First Strip Stage, 6.5 pH	14	43		<9	<9	7	37
			Second Strip Stage, 9.0 p	0.5	20		380	<20	25	<20
1070		35	Feed		65		56	6		
	2.6		Loaded Stage	43.3	0.32		<2			
	2.8		Raffinate Stage	0.05	0.11		<2			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	9.8	63		146	6		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5 p	5.6	12		<30	<30		
1080		20	Feed		63		56	6		
	2.7		Loaded Stage	40.9	0.85		2			
	2.7		Raffinate Stage	0.01	0.003		2			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	9.9	72		89	6		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5 p	0.1	1.2		<300	<300		
1090		35	Feed		70		56	6		12
	2.1		Loaded Stage	46.5	0.35		<2	6		2
	2.0		Raffinate Stage	0.009	0.001		<2	4		2
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	11.8	73		142	5		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5 p	0.37	34		200	<5		
1100		20	Feed		65		56	6		12
	1.95		Loaded Stage	41	3.7		<2	6		2
	2.00		Raffinate Stage	0.03	0.04		<2	4		<2
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	25.6	32		310	12		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5 p	14.4	33		25	<10		

Table 4C

**SOLVENT EXTRACTION SUMMARY
ALKALINE LEACH SOLUTIONS**

Test No	pH	Temp °C		Mo Content, g/l		H ₂ SO ₄ g/g Mo	Impurities In strip, mg/l per 200 g/l Mo				
				Organic	Aqueous		Si	As	P	Re	SO ₄
1110		35	Feed		65		56	6			
	2.1		Loaded Stage, 120 seco	35.3	13			4			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	4.1	35		183	<10			
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5	2.9	1.3		<300	300			
	2.1	Loaded Stage, 60 secon	22.5	31		20	4				
		First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	3.4	45		187	9				
		Second Strip Stage, 9.5	2.9	1.5		<250	<250				
	2.1	Loaded Stage, 30 secon	23.4	30		12	4				
		First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	3.7	46		174	9				
		Second Strip Stage, 9.5	3.3	1.2		<300	<300				
	2.1	Loaded Stage, 15 secon	16.8	40		20	4				
		First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	0	65		197	6				
1150		50	Feed		85		110	8		12	
	3.0		Loaded Stage	41.5	22		<2	6	1		
	3.1		Raffinate Stage	0.04	0.07		<2	6			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	1.3	76		<5	11	16	42	470
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5	0.3	2.8		<140	<140	<70	<140	1500
1160		50	Feed		82		110	8		12	
	3.52		Loaded Stage	39.3	23		<2	6	1		
	3.66		Raffinate Stage	0.01	0.04		<2	6			
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	2.1	76		<5	11	13	63	320
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5	0.6	4		<100	<100	<50	<100	1700
1170		35	Feed		82		110	8		12	
	2.5		Loaded Stage	40.7	20		<2	6		8	
			First Strip Stage, 8.5 pH	1.6	81		197	15	3.7		
			Second Strip Stage, 9.5	0.3	3.7		750	110	16		

54 The effect of contact time on the molybdenum and impurity transfer was also evaluated. As the contact time was reduced from 120 to 15 seconds, the molybdenum transfer was reduced from 80% to 40%. The ratios of silicon and arsenic to molybdenum in subsequent strip solutions did not change, indicating that varying contact time would not vary the amount of impurity transferred.

55 The transfer of sulfate to the strip solutions was also monitored. The pH of the extraction contact was increased. Increasing the pH of the contact reduced the amount of sulfate transferred. The silicon transfer also was reduced in the higher pH contacts. The amount of sulfate transferred to the strip ranged from 320 to 470 mg/l when normalized to 200 g/l molybdenum.

56 The effect of temperature (25, 35, and 50°C) at pH levels of 2.5 to 3.5 was also evaluated. Within these ranges, temperature and pH appeared to have no effect on the molybdenum transfer. Phase separation was slightly faster at 50°C. The transfer of silicon was lower at 50°C. Sulfate transfer at 50°C and 3.0 to 3.5 pH was low. Strip solutions contained 320 to 470 mg/l SO₄ on a 200 g/l molybdenum basis.

57 A batch contact test was done at the pH found most efficient for molybdenum transfer, 4.2-4.4. The first contact distribution coefficient was 133. The first contact aqueous phase contained 0.13 g/l Mo, indicating 99.8% extraction in the first contact. The transfer of silicon was reduced significantly to 164 mg/l in the strip solution when normalized to 200 g/l Mo. Sulfate transfer also was reduced to 39 mg/l on a 200 g/l Mo basis.

Example 4

Countercurrent Solvent Extraction

58 Two countercurrent extractions were performed and the data from these tests are summarized in Table 5. In both tests a single extraction contact was made. The target pH in the extraction stage was 4.0 to 4.3. The actual pH values of the eight contacts ranged from 2.3 to 4.3, with only one contact being at a pH lower than 3.7. In this pH range it is easy to add excess acid

Table 5

COUNTERCURRENT SOLVENT EXTRACTION ALKALINE LEACH SOLUTIONS

Test No: 1390							
Organic:	10% DTDA			Aqueous:	<u>NaOH Leach</u>		
	5% Decyl alcohol				55 g/l Mo		
	85% Escaid 110				g/l Fe		
					g/l H2SO4		
				mg/l Si			
				Strip Prod			
	Contact	Loaded Stage Mo, g/l		Impurities on 200 g/l Mo basis			
	pH	Aqueous	Organic	Mo, g/l	Si, mg/l	As, mg/l	P, mg/l
Cycle 1	2.3	0.037		217	180	6	
Cycle 2	4.0	0.060		224	195	9	
Cycle 3	4.3	0.49		221	360	17	
Cycle 4	3.8	0.023		226	195	37	

Test No: 1400								
Organic:	10% DEHPA			Aqueous:	<u>NaOH Leach</u>			
	5% Decyl alcohol				73 g/l Mo			
	85% Escaid 110				275 mg/l Si			
					3 mg/l P			
					6 mg/l As			
				1700 mg/l SO4				
					<u>Strip Prod</u>			
	Contact pH	Loaded Stage Mo, g/l		Impurities on a 200 g/l Mo basis				
		Aqueous	Organic	Mo, g/l	Si, mg/l	As, mg/l	P, mg/l	SO4, mg/l
Cycle 1	3.7	14.6		222	240	5	3	65
Cycle 2	4.1	12.9		228	720	5	1	23
Cycle 3	4.2	13.8		226	620	7	3	95
Cycle 4	4.1	14.5	22.7	220	645	5	2	79

once the needs for species change were met. Controlling the pH would not be a problem in a continuous circuit.

59 The loaded solvent was water-washed and stripped countercurrently with three stages of ammonium hydroxide. Each test was operated for four cycles. With countercurrent stripping it was possible to produce product strip solutions containing up to 228 g/l Mo. The transfer of sulfate was low, 23 to 95 mg/l on a 200 g/l Mo basis. The strip solutions were well below the target values of phosphorus and arsenic (1-3 and 5-7 mg/l respectively), but contained 240 to 720 mg/l silicon.

Example 5

Sulfate Removal from Pressure Oxidation Residue

60 Two washing / re-pulping tests were performed to study removal of sulfate from the autoclave discharge. The results of these tests are shown in Table 6.

Table 6

	Test 1	Test 2
	Soluble SO ₄ per 200 g/l Mo when leached	
Initial filter cake	250 g/l	250 g/l
After 2 displacement washes	12.3 g/l	5.3 g/l
Cake after one repulp	<4.8 g/l	0.73 g/l
After 2 displacement washes	<1.4 g/l	0.11 g/l

Example 6

Ammoniacal Leaching

61 A series of tests were performed to determine the optimum conditions for ammoniacal leaching of the autoclave residue. The ammoniacal leaching data are summarized in Table 7. The first set of tests evaluated leaching POX residues by adding the wet cake to reagent ammonium hydroxide (nominally 500 g/l NH₄OH). The initial ammonium hydroxide additions were 1.24 and 1.7 times stoichiometric to dissolve the molybdenum. The mixtures then were heated to 55°C for

Table 7

DATA SUMMARY
LEACHING OF AUTOCLAVE RESIDUE WITH AMMONIA

Test	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
Temp	55	55	55	55	55	55	50	50
Pulp Density	<43	<38	<79	<79	<79	<79	<82	<79
Stages	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Hours	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Final pH	9.4	9.0	9.0	8.5	9.4	8.8	9.0	9.1
Added iron molybdate	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
Aged overnight	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Feed, % Mo	comp.	comp.	28.7	27.7	29.3	27.4	25.5	26.4
Residue, % Mo	0.46	0.64	0.16	0.09	0.10	0.25	0.29	0.68
Ammonia soluble, %	99.0	98.4	99.7	99.8	99.8	99.5	99.3	98.3
Filtrate, g/l Mo	31.8	73.7	178	142	158	182	184	181
Impurities per 200 g/l Mo								
Si	226	190	103	85	56	48	74	82
As	<13	33	9	8.5	10	11	11	13
P	na	5			6	7	7	8
Cu	19	16	4.5	8.5	11	12	14	14
Fe	132	na	5.6	7	4	3	1	1
K			<1	<1	1	1		
Mg			2	3	3	2		
SO ₄					380	<330	1300	4240

two hours and, if necessary, ammonium hydroxide was added to keep the pH above 9.0. The total ammonium hydroxide additions were 1.7 and 3.5 times stoichiometric to dissolve the molybdenum. After the leaching period, the leach slurries were transferred to plastic bottles, sealed, and aged overnight at 50°C. The molybdenum dissolutions were both excellent at 99.7 and 99.8%. The leach filtrates contained 178 and 142 g/l Mo. The soluble impurities on a 200g/l Mo basis, except for silicon were low at 85-103 mg/l Si, 8.5-9 mg/l As, 2-3 mg/l Mg, <1 mg/l K, 4.5-8.5 mg/l Cu, and 5.6-7 mg/l Fe.

62 The next set evaluated adding iron molybdate and sodium sulfide to the leach slurry prior to the aging period. The initial ammonium hydroxide additions were 1.08 and 1.17 times stoichiometric to dissolve the molybdenum. The mixtures then were heated to 55°C for two hours and, if necessary, ammonium hydroxide was added to keep the pH above 9.0. The total ammonium hydroxide additions were 2.0 and 1.8 times stoichiometric to dissolve the molybdenum. At 90 minutes iron molybdate was added to the leach slurry and at 105 minutes sodium sulfide was added to the leach slurry. At 120 minutes, the leach slurries were transferred to plastic bottles, sealed, and aged overnight at 50°C. The molybdenum dissolutions were both excellent at 99.8 and 99.5%. The leach filtrates contained 158 and 182 g/l Mo. The soluble impurities on a 200 g/l Mo basis were low at 48-56 mg/l Si, 10-11 mg/l As, 2-3 mg/l Mg, 1 mg/l K, 6-7 mg/l P, 11-12 mg/l Cu, and 3-4 mg/l Fe. The sulfate levels were 380 and less than 330 mg SO₄/l.

63 The last set evaluated using anhydrous ammonia to adjust the pH after the initial pulping in ammonium hydroxide solution. The initial ammonium hydroxide additions were 1.65 and 1.72 times stoichiometric to dissolve the molybdenum. The mixtures then were heated to 55°C for two hours and, if necessary, anhydrous ammonia was added to keep the pH above 9.0. At 90 minutes a small amount of iron molybdate cake was added to the slurry. After the leaching period, the leach slurries were transferred to plastic bottles, sealed, and aged overnight at 55°C. The molybdenum dissolutions were both excellent at 99.3 and 98.3%. The leach filtrate contained 184 and 181 g/l Mo. The soluble impurities on a 200 g/l Mo basis were 74-82 mg/l Si,

11-13 mg/l As, 7-8 mg/l P, 14 mg/l Cu, and 1 mg/l Fe. The sulfate levels in the leach solution were high, 1300 and 4240 mg/l SO₄, even though the POX residue had been washed, repulped, refiltered and rewashed.

Example 7

Purification of Ammonia Leach Solutions

64 Tests were performed to evaluate purification of leach solutions with high molybdenum concentration, 142-223 g/l Mo. Data are summarized in Table 8. Additives evaluated included ferric sulfate, magnesium sulfate, iron molybdate, and aluminum molybdate. Ferric sulfate and iron molybdate were effective in reducing the silicon by 50 to 70%. Magnesium sulfate had little effect, although the final pH values were below the hydrolysis point of magnesium hydroxide. Aluminum molybdate showed little effect. The addition of iron molybdate successfully reduced the silicon to below the target concentration with each of the solutions. The iron molybdate for the above tests was prepared by mixing solutions of ferric sulfate or ferric chloride with a sodium molybdate solution while controlling the pH at 1.6 to 1.9.

Example 8

Total Soda Leach (TSL) Process

65 A series of tests were performed to determine the optimum conditions for neutralizing the autoclave leach slurry prior to solid-liquid separation. The data are summarized in Table 9. This process eliminates one liquid-solid separation step when compared with the alkaline leaching process. Sodium carbonate was added to a fixed pH, 6.0 to 8.0, and then in some cases sodium hydroxide was added to pH 9.0. The data in Table 9 show that the final pH needs to be at least 9.0 for the molybdenum solubility to exceed 90%. The quantities of soluble impurities in the neutralized solution were very low as shown in Table 10. Tests on leach slurries No. 164 and 165 focused on determining the lowest cost combination of sodium carbonate and sodium hydroxide that could be used for the neutralization. Because of the formation of bicarbonate, using sodium carbonate is not as cost effective at higher pH values as sodium hydroxide. The

080917Z JUL 68

Table 8

PURIFICATION OF AMMONIUM HYDROXIDE LEACH

[illegible]

Table 9

SUMMARY OF TSLP LEACH AND NEUTRALIZATION

Leach No.:	Conc. Feed	Filtrate, g/l		Soluble Mo, %		Residue, S%		Temp °C	Na2CO3 to ? pH	NaOH to ? pH	Filtrate Mo, g/l	Residue, %		Soluble Mo, %
		Mo	Cu	H2SO4	Mo, %	AC	A Res					Mo	Cu	
157	1B	7.1	8.5	65	98.2			25	7.0		12.6	5.6	5.1	68
									8.0		14.9	4.1	5.8	77
									8.0	9.0	24.6	3.2	4.7	84
158	1B	14.7	6.7	44	99.7			25	7.0		8.4	8.6	4.3	45
									8.0		14.8	4.8	4.7	74
									8.0	9.0	23.8	2.3	4.6	87
160	1B	20.5	9.0	53	89.3	1.86	1.66	25	6.0	9.0	16.8	4.9	4.1	74
161	1B	5.1	7.1	73	99.3	0.81	0.01	25	6.0	9.0	17.0	2.2	4.9	92
162	4A	9.1	6.5		99.8	0.81	0.10	25	6.0	9.0	18.2	5.2	11.1	91
163	4A	6.1	8.8		99.9	0.10	0.12	25	6.0	9.0	19.0	3.8	11.3	93
164	2B	7.0	3.8	84	99.9	0.25	0.01	35		9.0	33.1	2.6		94.4
								35		10.0	35.7	2.0		95.6
								55		9.0	30.2	3.7		92.0
								55		10.0	33.2	0.6		98.8
								75		9.0	36.3	2.3		95.1
								75		10.0	35.0	2.0		95.4
								55	6.0	9.0	20.8	0.8		98.5
								55	6.0	10.0	21.0	0.3		99.5
								75	6.0	9.0	27.9	1.3		97.1
								75	6.0	10.0	29.8	0.3		99.5
165	2B	7.6	7.2	93	99.0	0.37	0.01	55	6.0	10.0	24.6	1.3	5.7	96.4
								65	6.0	10.0	34.7	1.5	5.9	96.3

Table 10

IMPURITIES IN TSLP ALKALINE FILTRATE

Leach No.:	Conc. Feed	Neutralization				Residue Solids	Soluble Mo	Filtrate Mo ₂ g/l	Filtrate Impurities, mg/l							
		Temp °C	Na ₂ CO ₃ to ? pH	NaOH to ? pH	Cu				Fe	As	Al	P	Se	Mg	Si	
157	1B	25	7.0		62%	68%	12.6	6	1	4	2	<1				
			8.0		63%	77%	14.9	8	1	6	1	<1				
			8.0	9.0	70%	84%	24.6	110	2	8	2	2				
158	1B	25	7.0		52%	45%	8.4	2	1	4	2	<1				
			8.0		56%	74%	14.8	5	1	4	2	<1				
			8.0	9.0	58%	87%	23.8	47	2	6	2	1				
160	1B	25	6.0	9.0	52%	74%	16.8	2	1	6	2				28	
161	1B	25	6.0	9.0	60%	92%	17.0	1	1	4	2				76	
162	4A	25	6.0	9.0	70%	91%	18.2	6	3	12		0.4	2			28
163	4A	25	6.0	9.0	78%	93%	19.0	8.0	4.0	10.0		0.3	4.4			20
164	2B	35		9.0	57%	94.4%	33.1									
		35		10.0	55%	95.6%	35.7									
		55		9.0	60%	92.0%	30.2									
		55		10.0	58%	98.8%	33.2									
		75		9.0	56%	95.1%	36.3									
165	2B	75		10.0	54%	95.4%	35.0									
		55	6.0	9.0	62%	98.5%	20.8									
		55	6.0	10.0	58%	99.5%	21.0									
		75	6.0	9.0	65%	97.1%	27.9									
		75	6.0	10.0	59%	99.5%	29.8									

leaching-neutralization tests showed that more than 98% of the molybdenum would be soluble after partial neutralization with sodium carbonate to 6.0 pH, followed by neutralization with sodium hydroxide to 10.0 pH. The cosolubilization of impurities was low, with silicon being the highest at 200 mg/l on a 200 g/l Mo basis. Solvent extraction of molybdenum from the neutralization solution was ideal. When using a 10% DTDA organic phase and controlling the pH at 4.0 to 4.5 in the extraction mixers, the transfer of arsenic, phosphorus, and sulfate is minimized. Silicon transfer was moderate with the subsequent ammonium hydroxide strip solution containing about 21 to 71 mg/l silicon on a 200 g/l Mo basis (50 mg/l silicon was the target maximum). The data indicate two extraction and two strip stages will recover all of the soluble molybdenum into a 200g/l molybdenum strip solution.

09852207-050901